

---

---

332.1

: , 2016, 4 (92), . 267–289

• •

⋮

, -

. -

. -

. -

, -

. -

. -

. -

, . -

⋮ , -

, , -

2003 ., « . ».

-



”

“

”»

[7].

136-

:  
)

(

)

(

10

2015–2016

23-

1

2015

1 :  
[http://www.gks.ru/free\\_doc/new\\_site/bd\\_munst/munst.htm](http://www.gks.ru/free_doc/new_site/bd_munst/munst.htm)

– URL:

---

34 . ., 70% ( -  
. ) 30% - .

80

,

.

( 1 6,5 . )

( 400 800 ) .

- ,

,

( )

« »,

,

20- ,

- ,

,

.

1990- , 2010-

-

10 ,

.

:

( - )  
 , 5 . )  
 , .1 ,  
 ,  
 , 2015 . -  
 13 , - ,  
 . -  
 I

	( )		( )			
	2006	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
-	230520	230520	230520	230520	230520	230520
-	202187	202187	202187	202187	202187	202187
-	...	23711	23029	22682	22523	22465
-	...	11500	10658	10473	10137	9872
-	12799	65220	52740	58366	66516	33401
-	20969	77115	88712	73516	77940	60985
-	0	38883	17149	15734	30283	6787
-	18242	71016	81783	66879	64897	49481
-	...	2751	2290	2573	2953	1487
-	...	6706	8324	7020	7689	6178
-	23766	63662	51716	57723	67608	36246
-	20734	83356	86560	75311	76477	60538



( ) % ( ) ,

	2006	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
-	51	28	49	48	41	72
-	8	5	4	6	12	16
:						
-	30	11	16	15	14	6
-	7	4	3	4	4	4
-	1	1	1	1	1	2
-	0	0	0	0	0,01	0,11
-	5	2	3	3	3	6
-	1	0	0	1	1	1
-	15	14	29	29	23	58
-	0	1	1	1	1	1
-	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	0	0	0	0	6	9
-	42	12	17	20	12	5
-	5	1	3	3	4	3
-	0	60	33	27	46	20
-	87	92	92	91	83	81

40 50% 2015  
72%

(

[6].

2010-  
1-2%

850 550



- , -  
 , -  
 , , -  
 - , , -  
 , « » ,  
 , .

2.  
 :  
 « , -  
 . -  
 , , -  
 , -  
 -

2  
 , -  
 , -  
 , -  
 :  
 « , -  
 . -  
 ».

, , , ».  
 - , [4].  
 « »  
 ( , , -  
 : , ), -  
 «  
 », ,  
 [3].  
 « » ,  
 ,  
 : « , ,  
 , ,  
 ».  
 ( . .2),  
 ,  
 .  
 2 - 9,3 . 2010 . 19,3 . 2015 .  
 ( - 900 . ).  
 , ,  
 ,

[8],  
 ( )  
 « »

2014 .

,  
 ,  
 - ( , )

),

« ».

3.

, -  
 , .2 3,  
 ( -  
 ), ,  
 , - ,  
 - ( , .). -  
 , , 4 -  
 , , , -  
 . -  
 . -  
 ,  
 ,  
 « »<sup>5</sup>. -  
 :« , . -  
 8 9 „ -  
 1,2 . 1,4 . -  
 , -  
 , . -  
 4 :« -  
 - 800 . . ( 9 . 2015 .) -  
 ? !». -  
 5 :« -  
 , , -  
 . -  
 !». -

:

3

		)					
		(					
		,%					
		(					
		2006	2010	2012	2013	2014	2015
-	:	64	31	47	45	36	61
-	:	62	22	26	26	33	40
-	:	0,2	0	0,1	0	0	0
-	:	0,4	1	1	2	2	3
-	:	0,1	0,3	8	12	9	18
-	:	6,4	36	25	25	15	19
-	:	0	0	0	1	0,4	0,2
-	:	0	0	0	0	0	0,2
-	:	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,1	0,3
-	:	5	0	0	0	0	0
-	:	0	0	8	8	8	17
-	:	0	0	22	24	14	17
-	:	7	53	24	15	36	8
-	:	30	38	36	30	32	27
-	:	24	10	13	15	8	10
-	:	0	1	1	1	1	1
-	:	0,01	0,1	0,5	1	1	1
-	:	0,3	0,2	10	14	14	8

---

2,5  
400-500

: « 2011 2012  
2013 2014 ».

---

6

6

: «

».

( . . 1).

2015 .,

136-

ó

– 10% 13%, 1

2015 . 2%,

1000 . 2015 . 1,3 1,8

( . . 3).

« »,

10 . .): «

136- 17

136-







, -  
 -  
 8.  
 . -  
 -  
 -  
 , -  
 , -  
 :  
 « “ ” , -  
 , -  
 . -  
 -  
 , -  
 , -  
 , -  
 -  
 , -  
 , -  
 -  
 , -  
 -  
 , -  
 -  
 , -  
 -  
 ».  
 , -  
 , -  
 , -  
 30-40%<sup>9</sup>.  
 , -  
 ( , , ).  
 « » ,  
 - .

---

8  
 9  
 .: [5].  
 .: [1].

, -  
.  
( , , -  
) -  
, . -  
, , -  
, -  
, , -  
, . , -  
, -  
: -  
, -  
, -  
.  
\* \* \*  
, -  
, -  
, -  
, -  
« , -  
( »,



XI.179 ( XI.179.1.1).  
0325-2014-00020

1. . . . ? //  
. - 2016. - . 25, 1. - . 79-102.
2. . . . ? // . -  
2014. - 2. - . 63-74.
3. . . . «Hard luck story» ( -  
)// : . - 2006. - 3. - . 79-96.
4. . . . : -  
. - . : . , 2013. - 456 .
5. . . . , 2013. - 376 .
6. . . . - : -  
. . . . , 2010. - 116 .

- 
7. . . . : /  
 . . . . - : - , 2016. – 212 .
8. . . . : -  
 . . . . -  
 , 2010. – 332 .

( , ) – -  
 , (630090, -  
 , 17, e-mail: fadeeva@ieie.nsc.ru).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20160413

*Region: Economics & Sociology, 2016, No. 4 (92), p. 267–289*

**O.P. Fadeeva**

**REALIA OF RURAL LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT:  
 REFORMS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS**

*The article deals with the problems encountered in the system of rural local self-government during the municipal administration reform. Using the example of two rural districts in Altai Krai and Tomsk Oblast, we analyze the effects resulting from the so-called optimized allocation of power and finance between among levels of government. On the basis of budget statistics, we reveal significant differences in revenue and expenditure budgeting between agricultural and resource regions. The article examines the declared priorities and the actual experiences of local authorities, as well as some urgent problems that cannot be solved within the current system. We present evaluations of the implications of the reform made by various members of rural communities. The conclusion is that the link between rural budgeting and economic performance in regions is breaking down. Rural self-government is increasingly becoming detached from the functions related to organizing the economic and social life of the village.*

**Keywords:** municipal reform; rural settlements; realia of local self-government; Altai Krai; Tomsk Oblast

*The publication is prepared within the priority XI.179 (project No. XI.179.1.1) according to the research plan of the IEIE SB RAS*

## References

1. *Breslavsky, A.S.* (2016). Kakoy mozhet byt rossiyskaya suburbanizatsiya? [Possible modes of suburbanization in Russia]. *Mir Rossii* [Universe of Russia], Vol. 25, No. 1, 79–102.
2. *Bukhvald, E.* (2014). Reforma reformy ili Trishkin kaftan? [Reform of the reform or Trishkin caftan?]. *Federalizm* [Federalism], 2, 63–74.
3. *Kalugina, Z.I. & O.P. Fadeeva.* (2006). «Hard luck story» broshennykh dereven (sotsiologicheskii ocherk) [«Hard luck story» of abandoned villages (sociological study)]. *Region: ekonomika i sotsiologiya* [Region: Economics and Sociology], 3, 79–96.
4. *Nefedova, T.G.* (2013). Desyat aktualnykh voprosov o selskoy Rossii: Otvetny geografa [Ten Relevant Questions about Rural Russia: Answers of a Geographer]. Moscow, LENAND Publ., 456.
5. *Plyusnin, Yu.M., Ya.D. Zausaeva, N.N. Zhidkevich & A.A. Pozanenko.* (2013). Otkhodniki [Otkhodniks (Wandering Workers)]. Moscow, Novyy Khronograf Publ., 376.
6. *Starodubrovskaya, I. & N. Mironova.* (2010). Problemy selskogo razvitiya v usloviyakh munitsipalnoy reformy v Rossii [The Issues of Rural Development in Conditions of the Municipal Reform in Russia]. Moscow, Gaidar Institute for Economic Policy Publ., 116.
7. *Sumskaya, T.V. & S.A. Suspitsin* (Ed.). (2016). Mestnoe samoupravlenie: evolyutsiya byudzhethnoy politiki [Local Self-Government: Evolution of the Budgetary Policy]. Novosibirsk, Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering SB RAS, 212.
8. *Shagayda, N.I.* (2010). Oborot selskokhozyaystvennykh zemel v Rossii: transformatsiya institutov i praktika. Nauchnye trudy [The turnover of Agricultural Land in Russia: Transformation of the Institutions and Application]. Moscow, Gaidar Institute for Economic Policy Publ., 332.

## Information about the author

*Fadeeva, Olga Petrovna* (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Sociology), Senior Researcher at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: [fadeeva@ieie.nsc.ru](mailto:fadeeva@ieie.nsc.ru)).

01.09.2016 .

© . ., 2016